## 15<sup>th</sup> International Research/Expert Conference "Trends in the Development of Machinery and Associated Technology" TMT 2011, Prague, Czech Republic, 12-18 September 2011

# IMPORTANCE AND ROLE OF INTERCONNECTION OF GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT INDICATORS OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES

Doc. dr. sc. Suvad Isaković ITC d.o.o. Zmaja od Bosne bb, Zenica Bosna and Hercegovina

#### **ABSTRACT**

Very often we find strong expansion of small or medium-sized enterprises, which, in a very short time, achieve significant financial results. However, great number of these companies faces bankruptcy. There are different explanations for their failure, but the most common reason for their ultimate failure is in the disparity between the growth and development of the company. This paper aims to investigate whether there is indeed correlation between indicators of growth and indicators of development of small and medium enterprises.

Keywords: growth, development, small and medium enterprises

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Although, apparently, there is no difference between the word "growth" and the word "development", their semantic meaning differs in many aspects. Specifically, terms growth and development are often used in the literature as a synonyms, even though they have different semantic meaning. The concept of development implies a gradual transformation into more complex forms, in more modern state [7]. The concept of growth is manifested in the form of quantitative indicators, and their change in size indicates that something is increasing or decreasing.

Thus, the term "development" refers to qualitative indicators, and changes in those indicators point to enhancement of existing conditions, such as, in companies: product development, infrastructure development, technology development, human resource development, etc, while the concept of growth is expressed by quantitative indicators such as: gross revenue, net income, number of employees, etc. The quantitative changes in these indicators suggest to us weather the company grows or stagnates.

The successful growth of small and medium enterprises can be defined as a continuous business process in which positive changes are taking place in the following variables: annual gross revenue, net profit, production capacity, productivity, number of employees, the number of new customers, market share, the ability to utilize your own and others' resources for new product development, investments in a development of human resources, investment in facilities and infrastructure, the company's strategic orientation, organizational orientation of the company, structural organization of company [9].

Listed factors represent indicators of growth and development, but their increase is not guarantee of the growth of the company. The company needs to distinguish the wrong and good kind of the growth; any growth which, in a short period of time, results in overall increase in resource productivity of the company is a healthy growth [4].

Based on the above issues, in this paper we definsmall and medium enterprises, in the long ! development of small and medium enterprises. F define the general goal of this study as follow development of small and medium-sized en sample of selected variables. After defining follows: To determine the existence of c development of small and medium enterp empirical research.

research hypothesis as follows: The growth of must be simultaneously accompanied by the ecting the principles of scientific methodology, we o select the indicators of growth and indicators of rises that must meet the representativeness of the , general goal, the operational objective is defined as rrelation of indicators of growth and indicators of in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, by the

To check the defined hypotheses and fu' ng of defined goals of the research, appropriate scientific and research methods were used.

#### 2. IDENTIFICATION OF INTEF INNECTION OF GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT INDICATORS OF SME IN B&H

the last three years;

Although the literature lists a variety and medium enterprises, in this pape

indicators that point to the growth and development of small ; opted for the following indicators:

 $X_1$  – growth in total turnove

 $X_2$  - growth rate of gross p

compared to the turnover in the last three years;

X<sub>3</sub> - rate of change in num

of employees over the last three years;

X<sub>4</sub> - funds invested in eq the last three years;

tent and technology in relation to the achieved yearly turnover in

 $X_5$  – funds invested in th turnover in the last three

onstruction of buildings and infrastructure in relation to the yearly

 $X_6$  – funds invested in in the last three years;

development of human resources in relation to the yearly turnover

 $X_7$  – own and borrowe funds that may be used for new product development.

To check the representative significant correlation betw. B&H and indicators showi 30 were selected those cc year.

of the selected indicators, we will check the existence of a statistically the indicators which point to growth of SMEs in the Federation of ne development of SMEs in the Federation B&H. For a sample of N = inies that have recorded significant growth in 2006th, 2007th and 2008th

of correlation analysis with a significance level of p <0.05. Table 1 presents the rest

Table 1. Correlation idicators of growth and development of SMEs in the FB&iH

Indicators of	NI 20	Indicators of SME development					
SME growth	N=30	$X_4$	X <sub>5</sub>	X <sub>6</sub>	X <sub>7</sub>		
$X_1$	r	-,335	-,055	-,292	-,090		
	Sig.2-tailed	,023	,772	,118	,637		
X <sub>2</sub>	r	,401	,156	-,045	-,554		
	Sig.2-tailed	,028	,409	,811	,002		
X <sub>3</sub>	r	,098	,029	,077	-,397		
	Sig.2-tailed	,606	,880	,687	,030		

- the indicators of correlation, presented in Table 1, we see that there is a statistically sign to positive association between indicators of X2 and X4 indicator, because the value of \$10.000. With this we conclude that the increase of the gross profit results in the increase of the invested in equipment and technology
- is a statistically significant negative correlation between the indicator X<sub>2</sub> and indicators because the value of p <0.05, which suggests that with the increase of the gross profit, apanies hire less someone else's funds for development of new products.

• There is a statistically significant negative correlation between the indicators  $X_3$  and  $X_7$ , because the value of p <0.05. This negative correlation suggests that companies with less change (increase / decrease) of the number of employees are engaging more of their own and others' resources to develop new products.

iffied correlations between indicators of growth and development of small and medium enterprises to Federation of B&H, clearly indicate the logical causal relationship between indicators, which firms the representativeness of the selected indicators.

# . RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE BASIC FEATURES OF SUCCESSFUL SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES AND THEIR GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT INDICATORS

To identify the relationship between the basic characteristics of SMEs and their growth indicators, 30 companies, that recorded significant growth in observed period, are selected for the sample. Table 2 presents the results of correlation analysis.

Table 2. Relationship between the basic characteristics of companies and indicators of growth of the companies.

Characte-	N=30	Indicators of growth and development of companies							
ristics of companies		$X_1$	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>	$X_4$	$X_5$	X <sub>6</sub>	$X_7$	
A1	r	-,080	,420	,118	,172	-,062	,091	-,370	
	2-tailed	,673	,021	,536	,364	,744	,631	,044	
A4	r	-,266	-,256	,165	-,156	-,266	-,061	,258	
	2-tailed	,156	,172	,384	,412	,156	,749	,169	
A5	r	,010	-,409	-,224	-,071	-,103	-,018	,297	
	2-tailed	,960	,025	,234	,708	,590	,925	,111	
A6	r	,387	-,248	-,277	-,070	-,061	-,226	,358	
	2-tailed	,035	,186	,139	,714	,749	,229	,052	
A7	r*	-,272	,015	-,027	-,229	,000	,214	,017	
	2-tailed	,145	,938	,889	,223	,999	,257	,930	
A8	r	,033	,211	,284	,204	-,044	-,035	-,312	
	2-tailed	,863	,263	,129	,279	,817	,856	,094	
A9	r	-,142	-,090	-,135	-,087	,080	,078	,124	
	2-tailed	,453	,634	,476	,648	,674	,681	,514	
A13	r	-,157	,081	,120	-,065	,069	,107	-,074	
	2-tailed	,408	,671	,526	,732	,719	,573	,699	

- The analysis of the indicators in Table 2 indicates statistically significant positive correlation between the variables A1 The year of the company establishment, and X2 growth rate of gross profit in relation to the gross turnover in the last three years, because the value of p <0.05. Based on this it is concluded that older companies generate higher gross profit as compared to the younger companies
- Variable A1 The year of the company establishment, has a statistically significant negative
  correlation with the variable X7 Engagement of its own and others' resources to develop new
  products, because the value of p <0.05. Based on this it is concluded that younger companies
  engage more of its own and others' resources to develop new products</li>
- Variable A5 Number of employees, has a statistically significant negative correlation with
  the variable X2 growth rate of gross profit in relation to the gross turnover in the last three
  years, because the value of p <0.05. This indicator refers us to the conclusion that companies
  with fewer employees generate higher gross profit.</li>

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Selected indicators of growth and development of small and medium enterprises are representative variables, based on which the conclusions may be drawn. The basic hypothesis of this paper assumes that there is a reciprocal relationship between indicators of growth and indicators of development of small and medium enterprises. Based on the selected sample N30 of successful small and medium enterprises, the statistically significant correlation of the analyzed indicators can clearly be observed.

By applying the correlation analysis it can be clearly observed that successful small and medium enterprises in this sample are proportionally fallowing the investment in equipment and technology by increasing the realized gross profit. Also, the results of correlation analysis point to the conclusion that a higher gross profit of the companies has a negative correlation with the number of employees. The companies with fewer employees generate higher gross profit, which brings us to the conclusion that such companies are dedicated to development of human resources, so employees, at the end, by their competences increase productivity, resulting in a higher gross income, in relation to the companies that have higher number of employees and lower productivity.

The growth of SMEs require a complex activities within the company, which focus on intensive formation of new organizational structures, defining new strategic direction of the company, the selection and development of human resources, all with the aim of establishing a balance between growth and development of the company.

#### 5. REFERENCES

- [1] Churchill, C. N. Lewis, L. V.: The Five Stages of Small Business Growth, Harward Business Review, May-June 1983.
- [2] Churchill, N. C. Lewis, V. L.:The five stages of small business growth, Harvard Business Review, Boston, 61. 1983.
- [3] Clerc, Ph.:Business Intelligence as a Competitive Tool, SME Forum: Rapid Growth and Competitivness through Technology, Helsinki, Septembar 16-17, 2000.
- [4] Drucker, F. P.: The Essential Drucker, Adižes, Novi Sad, 2006.
- [5] Galbraith, J.: The Stages of Growth, Journal of Business Strategy, Boston, 3. 1990.
- [6] Gibb, A.:The Competitiveness Challenge to the Development of SMEs and their Advisors, SME Forum: Rapid Growth and Competitivness through Technology, Helsinki, Septembar 16-17, 2000.
- [7] Kitić, S. et al.: The Serbo-Croatian Literary Language Dictionary, Matica srpska, Novi Sad, 1973.
- [8] Levy, M. Powell, Ph.:Strategies for Growth in SMEs, Elsevier, 2005.
- [9] Isaković, S.: The Applied Strategies and Models of Growth of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises, 7<sup>th</sup> Reasearch/Expert Conference With International Participation, 2011.